



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/466,035 | 12/17/1999 | MATTI SALLBERG | 930049.458C1 | 9697 |
| 27476 7: | 590 04/09/2003 | | | |
| Chiron Corporation Intellectual Property - R440 P.O. Box 8097 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PARAS JR, PETER | |
| Emeryville, CA 94662-8097 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1632 | 7.8 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | The state of the s | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Applicati n No. | applicant(s) | | | |
| ' Office Action Cummany | 09/466,035 | SALLBERG ET AL. | | | |
| . Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Peter Paras, Jr. | 1632 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on s | 30 January <u>2003</u> . | 4 . | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ | This action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,24 and 25</u> is/are pending in | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-11</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | • | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,12,13,24 and 25</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 1999</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority docum | ents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not | 5) Notice of Info | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) prmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Office | e Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 28 | | | |

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Prosecution Application

The request filed on 10/10/02 for a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) under 37 CFR 1.53(d) based on parent Application No. 09/466,035 is acceptable and a CPA has been established. An action on the CPA follows.

Applicant's amendment received on 1/30/03 has been entered. Claims 5 and 25 have been amended. Claims 1-5, 1-13, and 24-25 are pending. Claims 1-5, 12-13 and 24-25 are under current consideration.

It is noted that Applicant's have submitted an amendment to claim 23, however claim 23 was cancelled in the response to restriction requirement received on 12/27/00.

As such the amendment to claim 23 has not been entered.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 6-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

Drawings

New corrected drawings are required in this application because of the objections by the Draftsman set forth in the PTO-948 attached to the Office action mailed on 2/2/01. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson

Art Unit: 1632

outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5, 12-13, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The previous rejection is maintained for the reasons of record advanced on pages 2-3 of the Office action mailed on 10/10/01.

For the purposes of the instant rejection, claim 25 is interpreted to depend from claim 1.

Applicant's arguments filed on 10/10/02 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicants have argued that the claims as amended are fully enabled as they now are directed to methods of generating an immune response against intracellular pathogens. Applicants submit that the instant specification has provided guidance for using the claimed methods to provide an effective means of inducing

.

Art Unit: 1632

potent class I restricted protective and therapeutic CTL responses as well as humoral responses. See page 4 of the amendment.

In response, the Examiner asserts that the claims as amended are broader in scope as they now encompass methods of generating an immune response. However, the scope of generating an immune response encompasses treatment and prevention. The claims when taken in light of the teachings of the specification are properly interpreted to read on gene therapy. It is maintained that gene therapy is an unpredictable art; the evidence of record has failed to provide guidance to overcome the unpredictability of the gene therapy art with respect to the full scope of the methods as claimed. See Marshall, Verma, Frolov, Ohno, and Garoff as referenced on pages 2-3 of the Office action mailed on In particular, the instant specification has failed to provide guidance which correlates the methods as claimed with treatment or prevention of intracellular pathogen infection. Furthermore, the instant specification has failed to provide guidance which correlates the administration of any polynucleotide which encodes any immunogenic portion of any antigen and the administration of any immunogenic portion of any antigen with generation of an immune response against an intracellular pathogen, in particular when the immune response results in treatment or prevention of intracellular pathogen infection.

Accordingly, the previous rejection is maintained for the reasons of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1632

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 12-13 and 24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said immunogenic portion of said antigen" in step (b), line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Claims 2-5, 12-13, and 24 depend from claim 1.

Claim 25 recites the limitation "claim 14" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim as claim 14 was previously cancelled.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner(s) should be directed to Peter Paras, Jr., whose telephone number is 703-308-8340. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 8:30 to 4:30 (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at 703-305-4051. Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center numbers are (703) 308-4242 and (703) 305-3014.

Inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (703) 305-3388.

Peter Paras, Jr.

PETER PARAS
PATENT EXAMINER

Vete Pura

Art Unit 1632

1